G.O. NO. 552 Rejected

Dated 20.8.52

Sub:- Tahsildars and Deputy Tahsildars (Bellary) Inclusion of names in the lists for 1951 - proposal - Orders passed.

Read:-Memorial to H.E. the Governor from Sri Diwakara Rao dated 3-5-52.
From Sri H.Srinivasa Rao petition dated 28-5-52
From the Collector of Bellary 8060/52 d/12-6-52
From Sri C. Ekambaram petition dated 5-6-52.

Resolution.

x x x

Sri K. Diwakara Rao.

He is well past the conventional age limit as he is 48 now. His previous petition to the Governmen requesting the inclusion of his name in the Tahsildar's list was rejected in G.O.Rt.No.401/50 Revenue dated 3-6- The relevant extracts of the Collrs. remarks from the annual proposals for 1950-51 are enclosed. No name has been included in the list for 1951 the existing list being overfull.

Copy of extract from B.P.Mis.7(C) dated 4-1-52.

Lis of persons eligible for inclusion in the list of Tahsildars including those who have passed the conventio al age limit.

2.	Sri K.Divakara Rao.	47 Brahmin B.A. The Special Officer Tungabhadra Project has recommended his inclusion but he has been working under the Special Officer only since 27-5-50. Before that he was working as Taluk Supply Officer The Dt. Supply Officer then found his supervision work connected with rural rationing slack, and that he had no proper control over firka supply officers. I was not satisfied either with his procurement work or inspection of ration shops. His appeal to Government for inclusion was rejected in G.O.Rt.401/50 Rev. dated 3-6-50. Not recommended.

Sd. G.RamiReddi. Collr.

2.	Sri K. Diwakara Rao	48 Brahmin B.A. Overaged. Not recommended by the Spl. Officer Tungabhadra Project.

(Sd.) G.Ramireddi./12-3-52.
Collector.

/true copy/

To

His Excellency the Governor of Madras

Through the proper Channel.

Sub: The humble memorial of Sri.K.Divakara Rao,Special Deputy Tahsildar, Tungabhadra Project, Hospet.

****

May it please your Excellency,

Your humble memorialist is a Revenue subordinate in the cadre of Deputy Tahsildars in the Bellary District. He completed his probation as Deputy Tahsildar as long back as in 1945 and is working in the same grade ever since. He started service as a graduate-clerk in 1926 and has put in a permanent service of 23 years now.

In his early ministerial service he worked in various responsible jobs of the District Administration like the Magisterial Head Clerk of the Collector's Office, Bellary (before separation), Head Clerk of the Deputy Collector's Office, Bellary and Taluk Head Accountant, Kudligi. In the Deputy Tahsildar's grade he has worked in various capacities like a Famine Charge Officer, Sub Magistrate, Bellary (before separation), Huzur Head Clerk of the Collector's Office, Bellary, Assistant Rationing Officer, Bellary and Deputy Tahsildar,Yemmiganur.

There is nothing bad in his work and conduct. Therefore the Collector was pleased to recomend his name for inclusion in the list of Tahsildars in 1946 and in subsequent years. The Special Officer Tungabhadra Project under whom he has been working for the last two years has also recommended his name likewise. But the Board of Revenue has not been pleased to recognize his claims more than once and consequently more than a dozen of his juniors have got over him for no fault of his.

Having failed to get redress at the hands of the Board or Government so far, he is submitting this humble memorial to your Excellency with the fervent hope that justice will be done to him. He is in great frustation which has told upon his spirits and health.

Having started service as a graduate and having served devoutly and with an aspiration, for 23 years, he is so far denied even the barest minimum for his qualification and work of being promoted even as a Tahsildar. What is bothering him more is the fact that he is to lose his glove among his equals than the pecuniary loss involved. He has no supporters in Public Service and he is a Brahmin by birth and he is sure that these two factors have militated against him and grave injustice has been occasioned in his case. Trusting in God, he is approaching the present - popular Government with the fervent hope that his case will not go by default.

He is forty nine years of age now and he has to serve for six years more. There is no statutory age-bar for persons for inclusion in the list of Tahsildars, as the age-bar operates only at the stage of inclusion of persons into the list of Deputy Tahsildars. Incidentally I beg to submit that the cadre of Deputy Tahsildars and Tahsildars go together for purposes of promotion from the lower cadre to higher cadre. Once a person has satisfactorily completed his probation as a Deputy Tahsildar, he is eligible for inclusion into the list of Tahsildars, provided there is nothing against him to warrant his promotion being withheld. If there was anything wrong with your humble memorialist, he should have been told so, in order that he may know where he stands. Likes and dislikes of some Officers for their own reasons, might have been the cause

of some adverse remarks being made in his confidential files. His submission is that remarks made by some Officers without something definite to substantiate their remarks, should not stand in the way of your humble memorialist's whole career. There have been many similar cases to mine which the Government has viewed favourably. In this connection your memorialist begs to assert that the so called confidential files cannot be a correct measuring-rod of ones work and conduct.

The prayer of your humble memorialist is to give him an interview to represent his own case, to restore his seniority by including his name in the list of Tahsildars for Bellary District and enable him to work with peace of mind for the remaining part of his service.

Your Excellency,
Your humble memorialist remains,

Hospet
Dated 3-5-1952

Yours faithfully,
K. Diwakara Rao
3-5-52.

Confdl

Rev Dept

CNo 48420 Rev/52

Pl issue by Dy 20/8/52 19.8.52

pp 2-4 CF

Read:- From Sri K. Sivakaraka Rao, Kunooral, dated 3.5.52.

p 1 CF

From the Bd of Rev Mis 735(e) 52 1.7.52.

Go Rt No 558 Dated 20/8/52

The Governor declines to interfere on behalf of the memorialist. The memorial is rejected

by 19.8.52

19.8.52 pp

by 19.8.52

G.No. 48420/52. Rev Dept

Public Servants (Bellary) - Sivakara Rao Sri K - Inclusion in the list of Tahsildars - Memorial to the Governor.

pp 2-4 cf - From Sri K. Sivakara Rao, Memorial dated 3.5.52

p 1 cf - From the Bd of Rev Nos 735(C) & 1.7.52.

To Adv

Please see the memorial from Sri K. Sivakara Rao on pp 2-4 cf and the extract of the Bds reference on p 1 cf. with reference to the Pub (Ser) Dept Rev Note No. 2700/51-1 dated 25.7.51, the file is submitted with a routine note at (D)

30/7/52

Adv

The memorial on pp.2-4 c.f. is addressed to the Governor. The following note is submitted with reference to the Secretariat Instruction 91 and Appendix III to the Secretariat Instructions.

pp 2-4 cf

i) The memorial has been submitted through the proper channel, viz. the Collector and the Board of Revenue

pp 7-9 cf & (A)

ii) The memorialist had previously approached the Government with a petition dated 21.2.1950. The petition was rejected by the Government in G.O.Rt.No.401 Revenue dated 3.6.1950.

p. 14 cf (A)

iii) The memorial is against the orders of the Government issued in G.O. Rt. No.401 Revenue dated 3.6.1950 referred to in sub-paragraph (ii) above and is time-barred.

iv) The memorial does not relate to a matter already disposed of by the Governor

v) Facts of the case:

The memorialist is a Deputy Tahsildar in the Bellary district. His claim is for inclusion of his name in the approved list of Tahsildars for that district. In 1950, for the reasons stated at 'A' on p.1 c.f., the Collector did not recommend the memorialist's name for inclusion though the S.O. for the Tungabhādra Project under whom the memorialist was then working had recommended his case for inclusion. For 1951 also, the Collector did not recommend his name for inclusion as he was overaged and was also not recommended by the S.O., T.B. Project. The Board has not included any new names, in the list for 1951 as the list was already overful. The petitioner is aged 49 now and is long past the conventional age limit of 45 for inclusion of names in the Tahsildars' list. In the circumstances no interference seems called for. The memorial may be rejected.

The P.F. of the memorialist is put up at (C).

Notes on pp 1 & 2 and [illegible] to the
orders in circulation of p & and a draft
order submitted for approval.

19.8.52